

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/044,058	01/10/2002	Wayne T. Heverly	6911-3 6554			
21324	7590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER			
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP TWIN OAKS ESTATE			BOCHNA, DAVID			
1225 W. MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, OH 44313			3679			

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)		m		
•		10/044,058		HEVERLY, WAYNE	Т.	,		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		David E. Boch	na	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	rrespondence addre	ss			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hunication. or a reply within the statutory tutory period will apply and will expivill, by statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the in to become ABANDONED	by filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>04 August 2004</u> .						
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 11 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11 and 15-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been re documents have been re of the priority documents nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	cceived. cceived in Application have been received 7.2(a)).	n No d in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5) [	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e tent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/044,058

Art Unit: 3679

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to EPO Publication 1,156,253. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 15 only claims subject matter that is already recited in claim 16, from which it depends.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the elastomeric sealing ring" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the seal portion" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,058

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the stepped circular interior" in lines 3 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the seal retaining portion" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the stepped circular interior" in lines 12, 14 and 15.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the seal portion" in line 12 and. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the seal retaining portion" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rea et al. in view of EPO Patent Application 1,156,253.

In regard to claims 11 and 16, Rea et al. discloses a quick connect tubing assembly comprising:

- a length of tube having two ends (the tube that is placed over portion 14a);
- a tubular quick connect retainer sleeve 14e; and

Application/Control Number: 10/044,058

Art Unit: 3679

a tubular quick connect retainer fitting 16 within and being integral with the tubular sleeve, the quick connect retainer comprising; a stepped circular interior 14c, a seal portion 14d and an elastomeric sealing ring 18a adapted to sealingly engage the male fitting 10, the ring fitting radially within the inside diameter of the seal portion 14d of the stepped circular interior and fitting axially against the shoulder 10e formed between the seal portion of the stepped interior and the seal retaining portion 16b of the stepped circular interior 14c, a plurality of inwardly extending resilient fingers 16m, the resilient fingers being adapted to retainingly and releasingly engage a male fitting 10, an outwardly extending flange 16d extending from a first end thereof; and inwardly extending flange 16b extending from a second end thereof; and an intermediate wall portion 16a connecting the outwardly extending flange and the inwardly extending flange, the outwardly extending flange engaging a free end 14j of the tubular quick connect retainer sleeve. Rea discloses that the coupling is designed to provide a quick connect coupling having an improved sealing arrangement. However, Rea et al. does not disclose that the quick connect retainer sleeve is monolithically formed with a corrugated tubing. EPO Application '253 teaches integrally molding a quick connector retainer sleeve 61 directly to a length of corrugated tubing 14 so that the corrugated tube can be connected by only having to fix the resinous connector sleeve to a male fitting, thereby improving the efficiency of the pipe installation. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to mold the quick connector retainer sleeve of Rea et al. directly to a length of tubing, as taught by EPO Application '253, in order to remove an installation step and decrease the time needed to assemble the quick connect tubing joint.

In regard to claim 15, duplicates subject matter already recited into claim 16.

In regard to claim 17, the quick connect retainer inwardly extending flange 16b is formed of a plurality of discontinuous flange sections.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Proppe et al. discloses a similar coupling common in the art.
- 1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3679

September 16, 2004